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## An inverse problem with a single measurement



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\Delta_{g}\right) u=f \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, T), \\
& \left.u\right|_{t<0}=0 . \\
& \text { Assume }\left.g\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash M} \text { is known. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Measure $\left.u\right|_{\partial M \times(0, T)}$ for a single source $f$ supported on $\partial M$.

How to choose $f$ to get useful information about $\left.g\right|_{M}$ ?

## Single vs. many measurements

The problem of many measurements:
find $g$ given the hyperbolic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN-map).
$\Longrightarrow g$ is determined up to an isometry [Belishev, Kurylev '92 \& Tataru '95].
The problem is overdetermined, since, formally,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\Lambda_{D N}\right)\right)=2 n-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim}(g)=n
$$

Single measurement: $\left.u\right|_{\partial M \times(0, T)}$ depends on $n$ variables. The problem here is formally determined.

## Pseudo-random source and the measurement

## We let

- $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \partial M$ be a dense sequence of distinct points in $\partial M$ and
- $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|<\infty$.

We define the pseudo-random source by

$$
f(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \delta\left(x-x_{j}, t\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \delta_{j}, \quad(x . t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ For any $p \in\left(1, \frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ and $\epsilon>0, f$ satisfies

$$
f \in H^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \otimes H_{p}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

- Compressed in time.
- In practise, could be imitated by a random point process.


## Some notations and assumptions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\Delta_{g}\right) u=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \delta_{j} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left(T_{0}, T\right), \\
& \left.u\right|_{t<T_{0}}=0, T_{0}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $g(x)=\left(g_{j k}(x)\right)_{j=1}^{n}$ is a smooth Riemannian metric:

- $|g|=\operatorname{det}(g)$,
- $g^{-1}=\left(g^{j k}(x)\right)_{j=1}^{n}$ and
- $\Delta_{g} u=|g|^{-1 / 2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \partial_{j}\left(g^{j k}|g|^{1 / 2} \partial_{k} u\right)$.
(i) $M$ is open and bounded and the boundary $\partial M$ is smooth.
(ii) $g$ is smooth and there are $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ s.t.

$$
c_{1}|\xi|^{2} \leq \sum_{j . k=1}^{n} g_{j k}(x) \xi^{j} \xi^{k} \leq c_{2}|\xi|^{2}, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## The scattering relation and the main result



Denote by $\gamma_{x, \xi}$ the geodesic $\gamma$ satisfying

$$
\gamma(0)=x, \quad \dot{\gamma}(0)=\xi,
$$

and define the exit time

$$
\tau(x, \xi):=\inf \left\{t \in(0, \infty] ; \gamma_{x, \xi} \in \partial M\right\}
$$

We define the scattering relation $\Sigma$ on the set of non-trapped inward pointing unit vectors $D(\Sigma)=\left\{(x, \xi) \in \partial_{-} S M ; \tau(x, \xi)<\infty\right\}$ by

$$
\Sigma(x, \xi):=(\gamma(\tau), \dot{\gamma}(\tau), \tau), \quad \gamma=\gamma_{x, \xi}, \tau=\tau(x, \xi)
$$

## Theorem

Let $a_{j}=2^{-2^{j}}$. If $T>\sup _{\partial_{-} S M} \tau$, then $\left.u\right|_{\partial M \times(0, T)}$ determines $\Sigma$. If there are trapped geodesics, we must take $T=\infty$ to determine $D(\Sigma)$ and $\Sigma$.

## On the scattering relation

The scattering relation $\Sigma$ is known to determine $g$ (up to an isometry) in the following classes:

- non-trapping real analytic metrics [Vargo '10],
- non-trapping metrics close to an analytic metric [Stefanov, Uhlmann '09].

If $(\bar{M}, g)$ is simple, $\Sigma$ determines $g$ using boundary rigidity results known in the following cases:

- dimension $n=2$ [Pestov, Uhlmann '05],
- metrics close to the Euclidean metric [Burago, Ivanov '10].


## On the proof: continuation into the exterior domain

Solve $u$ in the exterior domain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\Delta_{g}\right) u=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \bar{M} \times\left(T_{0}, T\right) \\
& \left.u\right|_{\partial M \times\left(T_{0}, T\right)}=\text { measurement } \\
& \left.u\right|_{t=T_{0}}=\left.\partial_{t} u\right|_{t=T_{0}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $w$ to be any smooth solution of

$$
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\Delta_{g}\right) w=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left(T_{0}, t_{0}\right)
$$

with $\operatorname{supp}\left(\left.w\right|_{t=t_{0}}\right), \operatorname{supp}\left(\left.\partial_{t} w\right|_{t=t_{0}}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \bar{M}$.

Then we can determine the right hand side of

$$
\int_{T_{0}}^{t_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \delta_{j}\right) w d t d V=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \partial_{t} u w-\left.u \partial_{t} w d V\right|_{t=t_{0}}
$$

for any $t_{0} \leq T$.

## Gaussian beams



The Gaussian beam solution $w=w_{\epsilon, y, \eta}$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\Delta_{g}\right) w=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left(T_{0}, t_{0}\right), \\
& w\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\chi_{y}(x) W(0, x), \\
& \partial_{t} w\left(x, t_{0}\right)=-\chi_{y}(x) \partial_{t} W(0, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfies $w\left(x, t_{0}-t\right)=O(\epsilon), x \neq \gamma_{y, \eta}(t)$.

By construction $W$ solves the wave equation up to an error of order $\epsilon^{N}$ for a given $N$ and is of form

$$
e^{i \theta(x, t) / \epsilon} a_{\epsilon}(x, t)
$$

The phase function $\theta$ satisfies

$$
\theta(\gamma(t), t)=0, \quad \operatorname{Im} \theta(x, t) \geq c_{W}(t) d_{g}(x, \gamma(t))^{2}, \quad c_{W}>0
$$

where $\gamma=\gamma_{y, \eta}$ is the geodesic with initial data $(y, \eta)$.
To construct $\mathrm{W}(0, \mathrm{x})$ we need to know the metric $g$ only in a neighborhood of $x$.

## Indicator function

We write

$$
I\left(y, \eta, t_{0}\right):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{0}}^{t_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \delta_{j}\right) w_{\epsilon, y, \eta} d t d V .
$$

## Lemma

One can show that

$$
I\left(y, \eta, t_{0}\right)= \begin{cases}a_{j} b(y, \eta), & \gamma_{y, \eta}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{j}, \\ 0, & \gamma_{y, \eta}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq x_{j}, \forall j .\end{cases}
$$

Here $b$ is a non-vanishing smooth function depending on $\left.g\right|_{M}$.

## Exit times



Denote $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash M$ and let
$(y, \eta) \in S \Omega$. We know, if $\gamma_{y, \eta}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{j}$ for some $j$.

As $\left.g\right|_{\Omega}$ is known, we get the entering point and direction $(x, \xi)$ and also the entering time of $\gamma_{y, \eta}$.
By varying $t_{0}$ and $(y, \eta)$, we get $\tau(x, \xi)$ for all geodesics which exit through some source point $x_{j}$.

We want to use density $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \partial M$, to get $\tau(x, \xi)$ for all geodesics passing through $M$.

## Exit times (cont.)



- If $\gamma_{x, \xi}$ intersects $\partial M$ tangentially, $\tau$ can be discontinuous at $(x, \xi)$.
- However, if $\gamma_{x, \xi}(t)$ is in the interior of $M$, then $\gamma_{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\xi}}(t)$ is also in the interior for nearby $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\xi})$.
- As a limit, intersections may appear, but not disappear.
- This means that $\tau$ is lower semi-continuous on $\partial_{-} S M$.
- We get $\tau(x, \xi)$ for all $(x, \xi) \in \partial_{-} S M$ using density of the source points.


## Exit point

So far we have only used the information

$$
I\left(y, \eta, t_{0}\right) \begin{cases}\neq 0, & \gamma_{y, \eta}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{j}, \\ =0, & \gamma_{y, \eta}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq x_{j}, \forall j .\end{cases}
$$

Let us show next that the factor $b(y, \eta)$ can be separated in

$$
I\left(y, \eta, t_{0}\right)=a_{j} b(y, \eta), \quad \gamma_{y, \eta}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{j},
$$

assuming that the weights of the point sources are $a_{j}=2^{-2^{j}}$.

## Exit point (cont.)

- Let $\left(y_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$ and $t_{0}$ be such that $\gamma_{y_{0}, \eta_{0}}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{j_{0}}$ for some unknown $j_{0}$. We want to find $j_{0}$.
- Choose $\left(y_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)$ and $t_{k}$ converging to $\left(y_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$ and $t_{0}$ such that
- $\gamma_{y_{k}, \eta_{k}}\left(t_{k}\right)=x_{j_{k}}$ for some unknown $j_{k}$
- the known numbers $2^{-2^{j} k} b\left(y_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)$ converge to zero.
- Then $j_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$
\log _{2}\left(2^{-2^{j_{k}}}\left|b\left(y_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)\right|\right)=-2^{j_{k}}+\log _{2}\left|b\left(y_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)\right| .
$$

- $\log _{2}\left|b\left(y_{k}, \eta_{k}\right)\right|$ becomes asymptotically a small perturbation in the grid $\left(-2^{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Thus the limit perturbation $\log _{2}\left|b\left(y_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)\right|$ is determined.
- As $2^{-2^{j} 0} b\left(y_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$ is known we can solve for $j_{0}$, whence the exit point $x_{j_{0}}$ is determined.


## Exit direction



- If $\tau=\tau\left(x_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)<\infty, \gamma=\gamma_{x_{0}, \xi_{0}}$ is transverse to $\partial M$ and $\gamma(\tau)$ is not conjugate to $x_{0}$ along $\gamma$, then the function

$$
\Phi: \xi \mapsto \gamma_{x_{0}, \xi}\left(\tau\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)\right)
$$

is a local diffeomorphism
$S_{x_{0}} M \rightarrow \partial M$ near $\xi_{0}$, and
$\operatorname{grad}_{\partial M}\left(\left.\tau\left(x_{0}, \Phi^{-1}(z)\right)\right|_{z=\gamma(\tau)}=\left.\dot{\gamma}(\tau)^{\top}\right|_{\partial M}\right.$.

- Transversality is a generic property.
- As conjugate points are discrete on $\gamma$, we may choose $(y, \eta) \in S \Omega$ lying on $\gamma$, not conjugate to $\gamma(\tau)$, and employ the same construction.


## Conclusions

- We have shown that $\left.u\right|_{\partial M \times(0, T)}$ determines
- the exit time $\tau$,
- the exit point $\gamma(\tau)$,
- the exit direction $\dot{\gamma}(\tau)$.
- Thus $\left.u\right|_{\partial M \times(0, T)}$ determines the scattering relation $\Sigma$.
- $\Sigma$ determines the metric $g$ in some classes of metrics.
- Thus the formally determined inverse problem of finding $n$-dimensional unknown $g$ given $n$-dimensional data $\left.u\right|_{\partial M \times(0, T)}$ is solvable in some classes of metrics.

